

Table 3. Characteristics of the two cost-effectiveness studies

Author, year	Intervention	Intervention costs	Incremental cost	Effectiveness, QALYs (95% CI)	Incremental QALYs	ICER (cost per QALY)	Conclusion cost-effective
Mazari, 2013 ^a	ER + SET	€6 912	€3 045 [§]	0.649 (0.622 to 0.675)	0.02 [§]	€152 260	SET seems more cost-effective than ER+SET
	SET	€3 867		0.629 (0.597 to 0.660)			
Fahkry, 2021	ER + SET ^b	€6 149	€161	0.093 (0.044 to 0.141)	0.042	€3833	ER+SET seems more cost-effective than SET
	SET ^b	€5 988		0.051 (0.002 to 0.101)			
	ER + SET ^c	€4 475	€1 462	0.093 (0.044 to 0.141)	0.042	€34 810	ER+SET seems more cost-effective than SET
	SET ^c	€3 013		0.051 (0.002 to 0.101)			

Foot notes: ^a Healthcare provider perspective, ^b Societal perspective, ^c Health care perspective, [§] Self-calculated based on study data. **Abbreviations:** ER, Endovascular Revascularization; SET, Supervised Exercise Therapy; ST, stenting; WTP, Willingness-To-Pay threshold.